

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/647,773	08/25/2003		Mahesh Sanganeria	NOVE10003800	• 2781
22891	7590	12/29/2004		EXAM	INER
DELIO & I				TRAN, MI	NH LOAN
121 WHITN NEW HAVE		-	·	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW INCOME, OF COOLS				2826	

DATE MAILED: 12/29/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summans	10/647,773	SANGANERIA ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
The MAIL NO DATE of this communication	Minh-Loan T. Tran	2826
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 Oc 2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) ⊠ This 3) □ Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
 4) Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 24-29 is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-15 and 21-23 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 16-20 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 		
Application Papers		
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on 26 August 2003 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected the distribution of accepted or b)⊡ objected the distribution of the drawing(s) is objected if the drawing(s) is objected in the drawing(s) is objected to t	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	

Art Unit: 2826

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Group I, claims 1-23 in the reply filed on 10/06/2004 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that 35 USC § 121 authorizes restriction only when the claimed invention is "independent and distinct" and a search of the subject matter of Group I, claims 1-23, drawn to a process, would necessarily require a search of the subject matter of Group II, claims 24-29, which are drawn to a semiconductor structure. This is not found persuasive because even though 35 USC 121 authorizes restriction of two or more independent and distinct inventions, the term "and" has long been understood as "or". The law has long been established that dependent inventions (frequently termed related inventions) may be properly divided if they are, in fact "distinct" inventions, even though dependent. The term "distinct" means that two or more subjects as disclosed are related, for example as combination and subcombination, process and apparatus for its practice, process and product made, etc., but are capable of separate manufacture, use or sale as claimed, and are patentable (novel and unobvious) over each other (though they may each be unpatentable because of the prior art.) It will be noted that in this definition the term "related" is used as an alternative for "dependent" in referring to subjects other than independent subjects. See MPEP 802.01. Furthermore every requirement to restrict has two aspects, (1) the reasons (as distinguished from the mere statement of conclusion) why the inventions as claimed are either independent or distinct, and (2) the reasons for insisting upon restriction therebetween. See MPEP 808. Where the related inventions

Art Unit: 2826

on control real fiber. To our, in

as claimed are shown to be distinct under the criteria of MPEP 806.05 (c-I), the examiner, in order to establish reasons for insisting upon restriction, must show by appropriate explanation one of the following: (1) separate classification thereof, (2) a separate status in the art when they are classifiable together, or (3) a different field of search. See MPEP 808.02.

The restriction requirement for Group I (claims 1-23) and Group II (claims 24-29) which are related as process of making and product made, sets forth a two way distinction between the inventions of Group II and Group I. In another words, the process of Group I could be used to fabricate other and materially different product such as any semiconductor device having conductive plugs. On the other hand, the product of Group II could be made by another and materially different process such as the process of forming the device of claim 24 can be materially altered by forming a treated surface area of the insulating film without the step of heating the substrate and the insulating film. Thus the inventions of Group I and Group II are distinct inventions. See MPEP 806.05 (f). In addition, said Group II is classified in class 257 and said Group I is classified in class 438, as set forth in the Restriction Requirement. Thus the inventions of Group I and Group II acquire a separate classification thereof. Therefore, the requirement for restriction between patentably distinct inventions of Group I and Group II as Group II is proper. See MPEP 808 and 808.02.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Application/Control Number: 10/647,773 Page 4

Art Unit: 2826

Information Disclosure Statement

2. If applicant is aware of any relevant prior art, he/she requested to cite it on form **PTO-1449** in accordance with the guidelines set forth in M.P.E.P. 609.

Oath/Declaration

3. The oath or declaration filed on 08/26/03 is acceptable.

Drawings

4. The drawings filed on 08/26/2003 are acceptable.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, line 13, "said insulating layers" lacks of antecedent basis because there is only one insulating layer introduced.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-4, 6, 7, 9-15, 21-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Lu et al. (2004/0018748).

Art Unit: 2826

With regard to claims 1, 6, 9, 15, 21, figures 2A-2D, 3 of Lu et al. disclose a method of improving adhesion between an insulating layer 28 and a capping layer 30 in a process for making electronic components comprising: providing an integrated circuit structure which is in the process of being fabricated into finished electronic component having an insulating layer 28; contacting an exposed surface of the insulating layer 28 with a gas for adsorption of the gas onto the exposed surface of the insulating layer 28 for forming a treated surface area of the insulating layer 28 while maintaining an original thickness of the insulating layer 28 (note paragraphs [0020] and [0021] of Lu et al.); depositing a capping layer 30 that is made of silicon carbide directly over the treated surface area of the insulating layer 28 and continuing the process for making the integrated circuit device; wherein the treated surface area of the insulating layer 28 improves adhesion between the insulating layer 28 and the capping layer 30 so that the delamination therebetween during the step of continuing the process of making the integrated circuit can be prevented (note lines 29-40 in paragraph [0026], lines 7-21 in paragraph [0029] of Lu et al.)

With regard to claims 2, 3 and 13, paragraph [0029] of Lu et al. discloses the insulating layer 28 has a thickness ranging from about 3,000 Å to 10,000 Å and comprises a low K dielectric.

With regard to claims 4 and 12, paragraph [0017] of Lu et al. discloses the low k dielectric material of the insulating layer 28 comprises organo-silicate glass.

With regard to claims 7, 14, paragraphs [0020], [0021] of Lu et al. disclose the insulating layer 28 is preheated to a temperature equal to the process temperature prior

Art Unit: 2826

to performing the plasma process e.g. 300 °C to 400 °C and the gas is flow over the exposed surface of the heated insulating layer 28 for a duration about 30 seconds to about 120 seconds with a flow rate of 60 scam to about 200 scam.

With regard to claims 10 and 11, figures 2A-2D of Lu et al. disclose the step of depositing a dielectric layer 26 over the substrate layer 20 followed by depositing the low k insulating layer 28 over the dielectric layer 26; wherein the dielectric layer 26 having a thickness ranging from 300 Å to 1000 Å (note paragraph [0028] of Lu et al.)

With regard to claims 22 and 23, figures 2B-2D and paragraphs [0031]-[0034] of Lu et al. disclose the steps of forming the photo resist plugs in the insulating layer 28 and the steps of depositing a metallization layer 34 to fill the via openings and trenches openings 31A, 31B and planarizing a surface of the semiconductor device.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 7. Claims 16-20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 8. Claims 5 and 8 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Minh-Loan T. Tran whose telephone number is (571)

Page 7

Application/Control Number: 10/647,773

Art Unit: 2826

272-1922. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:00 AM-5:30

PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan J. Flynn can be reached on (571) 272-1915. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MIt 12/04 Minh-Loan T. Tran Primary Examiner Art Unit 2826